

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,290	SHINADA, AKIRA
	Examiner YONG HANG JIANG	Art Unit 2612

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YONG HANG JIANG.

(3) Marc Robinson.

(2) Brian Zimmerman.

(4) Edward W. Tracy, Jr.

Date of Interview: 05 May 2009.

Andrew Harry 36,959

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Witkowski 2002/0197955, SugiuraJP 2001112071 A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorneys discussed with the examiner whether or not the above cited Sugiura reference is contradicting with the Witkowski or not. A proposed amendment was also shown.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.